

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	I
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES.....	II
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	III
INTRODUCTION	1
Research context.....	1
Research question and conduct of research.....	5
Operationalization and methodology	8
Data collection: challenges	9
Researching a ‘moving target’	13
Structure.....	13
CHAPTER 1: NEW CHALLENGES, NEW ANSWERS? TOWARDS A TRANSNATIONALISATION OF EUROPEAN (COUNTER-TERRORISM) POLICING.....	16
Introduction	16
1.1 Transnational crime actors and state responses after the end of the Cold War	17
1.1.1 Clandestine transnational actors (CTAs).....	17
1.1.2 State responses	20
1.1.3 Merging the internal and the external?	21
1.2 Networks of security	23
1.3 Transnational policing	27
1.4 The EU’s internal security	32
1.5 Counter-terrorism as a policy objective of the EU	39
1.6 Information exchange at the heart of EU police co-operation	43
1.6.1 Europol	45
1.6.2 European Police Operational Chiefs Task Force (EPCTF)	46
1.6.3 Prüm	46
1.6.4 Joint Situation Centre (SitCen)	47
1.6.5 Police Working Group on Terrorism (PWGT)	47
1.6.6 Counter-Terrorism Group (CTG)	48
Conclusion.....	50
CHAPTER 2: THE DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMACY OF EUROPEAN COUNTER-TERRORISM POLICING: PARLIAMENTARY AND JUDICIAL SCRUTINY	54
Introduction	54
2.1 Conceptions of democratic legitimacy	56
2.1.1 ‘Critical’ and ‘affirmative’ approaches to democracy	57
2.1.2 Democratic legitimacy in an international setting? The case of the EU	60
2.1.3 The insufficiency of (purely) internal accountability for transnational counter-terrorism policing.....	62
2.2 Parliamentary scrutiny.....	65
2.2.1 The roles of the European Parliament and National Parliaments	67
2.2.2 Challenges of parliamentary scrutiny	69
2.3 Judicial scrutiny: Ensuring compliance with (the rule of) law and protecting human rights	71
2.4 Challenges for scrutiny in the security sector: transparency v. secrecy	74
Conclusion: ‘in the name of security’	82

CHAPTER 3: THE EUROPEAN POLICE OFFICE (EUROPOL): A NETWORK PERSPECTIVE.....	87
Introduction	87
3.1 The creation of the European Drugs (Intelligence) Unit and its transition to a European Police Office: tackling crime through networking	89
3.1.1 Joint fight against the illegal trade in drugs.....	89
3.1.2 Europol's legal basis	91
3.2 Europol's remit	94
3.2.1 Types of crime and Europol's geographical limits	94
3.2.2 Europol Computer System and its databases.....	96
3.3 Europol as a network-as-actor in the field of counter-terrorism.....	97
3.3.1 Check the Web.....	98
3.3.2 Security of explosives	99
3.3.3 First Response Network	100
3.3.4 ATLAS	100
3.3.5 Further activities related to the fight against terrorism.....	102
3.3.6 Products: threat assessments.....	103
3.4 Understanding Europol as a network	105
3.4.1 Europol's 'hub': the central secretariat	106
3.4.2 Europol Liaison Officers (ELOs).....	106
3.4.3 Co-operation among liaison officers	108
3.4.4 Liaison arrangements with third parties	110
3.4.5 Co-operation with EU bodies and databases or bodies and databases loosely coupled with the EU	111
3.4.6 'External Associates' (linked to the EU framework).....	115
3.4.7 Co-operation beyond the EU	119
3.5 From the Europol Convention to a Council Decision ... and further towards a European FBI?	122
Conclusion.....	127
 CHAPTER 4: EUROPEAN COUNTER-TERRORISM IN TRANSITION: INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION-SHARING AND PROACTIVE POLICING	 129
Introduction	129
4.1 Information-sharing in the EU	131
4.1.1 Cooperation despite the odds	133
4.1.2 'Approximation'	134
4.2 International information-gathering and -exchange in the fight against terrorism: co-operation with 'friendly' and 'not-so-friendly' countries	137
4.2.1 From the principle of 'need to know' to the principle of 'responsibility to share'?	138
4.2.2 The German <i>Trennungsgebot</i>	140
4.2.3 Opening up networks for new actors: intensified international co-operation in the fight against terrorism	144
4.3 How to scrutinize international sharing of secret information? Legal investigations into the CIA's extraordinary rendition programme and claims of state secrecy	150
4.4 Tendency toward proactive policing	153
Conclusion.....	161
 CHAPTER 5: THE PARLIAMENTARY SCRUTINY OF EUROPEAN COUNTER-TERRORISM CO-OPERATION.....	 167
Introduction	167
5.1 The role of parliaments in the scrutiny of the field of PJCC	169
5.1.1 The marginalisation of the EP: its 'consultative' role in the field of PJCC	170
5.1.2 The role of the National Parliaments (NPs).....	171
5.2 Empirical examples	175

5.2.1 Scrutinising Europol.....	176
5.2.2 The debate about the Europol-USA agreements	179
5.2.3 Investigations into the CIA's extraordinary rendition programme.....	182
5.2.4 Data-sharing between the EU and third countries: The debate about the Passenger Name Record (PNR) Agreements between the EU and the US.....	187
5.2.5 The interoperability of European databases: the political/normative dimension	192
5.2.6 The integration of the provisions of the Prüm Treaty into the EU framework.....	194
5.3 Co-operation between European Parliaments	196
Conclusion.....	198
 CHAPTER 6: THE PROTECTION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS IN THE CONTEXT OF EUROPEAN COUNTER-TERRORISM POLICING	 206
Introduction	206
6.1 The Protection of Human Rights in the context of the EU's counter-terrorism activities	209
6.1.1 The ECtHR and the 'terrorist lists'	212
6.1.2 The European Court of Justice (ECJ) and the 'terrorist lists'.....	214
6.1.3 Information exchange between the EU and a third party: the example of the EU-US Passenger Name Record (PNR) Agreements	218
6.2 The lack of a general data protection framework	219
6.3 Europol and data protection.....	221
6.3.1 The JHA Council and Europol's Management Board.....	222
6.3.2 Data protection regulations.....	225
6.3.3 Data protection at the national level with respect to Europol	227
6.3.4 Provisions for data protection at both the centre and at the level of the national nodes ..	228
6.3.5 Interoperability of databases and EU-wide data exchange	229
6.3.6 Data protection rules for the exchange of information with third parties	235
6.4 A forthcoming European Public Prosecutor's Office?	237
Conclusion.....	239
 CONCLUSION: RECONSIDERING THE DEMOCRATIC LEGITIMACY OF EU COUNTER-TERRORISM POLICING	 244
APPENDIX.....	257
ANNEX I: OVERVIEW: THE EU'S LEGAL REGULATIONS.....	258
ANNEX II: EXCERPT FROM THE COUNCIL FRAMEWORK DECISION OF 13 JUNE 2002 ON COMBATING TERRORISM (DOC. 2002/475/JHA)	261
ANNEX III: KEY DOCUMENTS CONCERNING THE EU'S EFFORTS AT COUNTER- TERRORISM	263
ANNEX IV: COUNCIL OF EUROPE: CONVENTIONS ON TERRORISM.....	266
ANNEX V: EUROPOL'S LEGAL FRAMEWORK	267
ANNEX VI: EUROPOL'S PRODUCTS IN THE FIELD OF COUNTER-TERRORISM.....	268
ANNEX VII: REPRESENTATION OF EU MEMBER STATES AT EUROPOL'S HEADQUARTERS	269
ANNEX VIII: REPRESENTATION OF NON-EU MEMBER STATES, INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL BODIES AT EUROPOL'S PREMISES.....	271
ANNEX IX: EU BODIES WITH AGREEMENTS WITH EUROPOL	272
ANNEX X: ESDP MISSIONS (AS OF OCTOBER 2009).....	273
ANNEX XI: AGREEMENTS BETWEEN EUROPOL AND THIRD PARTIES.....	274
ANNEX XII: UNITED NATIONS: CONVENTIONS ON TERRORISM.....	275
ANNEX XIII: CHATHAM HOUSE RULE.....	276
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	 277